UNITED	SI	ATES	DIST	rric	CT CC	DURT	
SOUTHER	N	DIST	RICT	OF	NEW	YORK	

GREAT EASTERN SHIPPING CO. LTD.,

Plaintiff,

Defendants.

-v-

PHOENIX SHIPPING CORPORATION, and PHOENIX SHIPPING PTY LTD.,

LICOCCONT
USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
EI ECTRONICATES
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED. 16 17 07
DATE PILED 16 17 07

07 Civ. 8373 (DLC)

ORDER

DENISE COTE, District Judge:

Plaintiff Great Eastern Shipping Co. Ltd. obtained on October 12, 2007 an Amended Ex Parte Order for Process of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment. On October 17, defendants Phoenix Shipping Corporation and Phoenix Shipping Pty Ltd. filed a proposed order to show cause why an order vacating the ex parte order of attachment should not issue, based on case law from the Southern District of New York suggesting that a maritime attachment should not have issued on plaintiff's allegedly unripe indemnification claims. At a conference held the same day, this Court questioned why this authority ostensibly undercutting plaintiff's application had not been cited by plaintiff in its initial and amended applications to this Court. Accordingly, it is hereby

ORDERED that plaintiff show cause why the following order should not issue:

It is hereby ORDERED that Great Eastern Shipping Co. Ltd. shall, in cases where the parties are governed by the Inter-Club New York Produce Exchange Agreement of 1996 and the law of England, in any application for exparte relief based on a contingent indemnity claim, bring to the court's attention the split of authorities in the Southern District of New York concerning the viability of plaintiff's application for maritime attachment.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff's renewed application for an attachment is due on November 2, 2007. Plaintiff shall include its response to the above order to show cause with this application.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant's opposition to plaintiff's application is due November 16, and defendant's reply is due November 26.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that at the time any motion is fully submitted, the moving party shall supply two courtesy copies to Chambers.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: New York, New York

October 17, 2007

United States District Judge